

Russia, Ukraine and the World-II: 5000 Years of Russian Asiatic Identity vs. 500 Years of Anglo-French Racism & Colonialism

Россия, Украина и мир-II: 5000 лет русской азиатской идентичности против 500 лет англо-французского расизма и колониализма

ul iššakkan salîmu balu mithuši

ul ibbašši tûbtu balu šitnuni

The peace is not established without conflict

The good relations don't come without rivalry

Epic of Tukulti Ninurta (13th c. BCE)

Мир не устанавливается без конфликта

Хорошие отношения не бывают без соперничества

Эпос о Тукульти Нинурте (13 век до н.э.)

The times when peace and war alternated and rivalries were transformed into friendly relations between kingdoms have gone; we don't live anymore in times similar to those of the great Assyrian Emperor Tukulti Ninurta I {lit. 'My trust is in Ninurta' (i.e. the divine concept of the Messiah for the Ancient Assyrians); reign: 1243-1207}. Those who are able to understand that World War I, World War II, and the Cold War were mere phases of the Great Game (Война теней or Большая игра) can now conclude that the Mankind entered into an undeniably eschatological clash that can only deteriorate down to the End.

All the perspicacious observers and the astute commentators do not waste their time in silly 'geo-political analyses' and 'financial charts' or econometrics, because they know that these bogus-sciences are entirely fake and deceitful. On the other hand and more importantly, eschatology is not relevant of religion (let alone theology) but does indeed hinge on Moral; the good, the just and the virtuous inherently disregard the evil, the promiscuous and the wicked. This is in their nature. Contrarily, the iniquitous, the vile and the abominable cannot accept the existence of the Good.

It is therefore inevitable that Russia 'disturbs'; not because Putin and Medvedev are angelic beings, but due to

a) the nature of Russia as the Land par excellence and

b) the character of Russians as the highest quality people of consciousness.

Contents

I. The Western Anti-Russian Bias

II. Skillful Western European Falsification of Russian History

A. Erroneous contextualization of Archaeology of Northern Asia

- B. Deliberate use of overlapping terms: Northern Asia, Siberia, and Scythia
- C. Prehistory and Ancient History of Northern Asia are subject to modern borders and to the meaningless attempts for 'national archaeology'
- D. Failure to discern Northern Asia in its entirety and true dimensions
- E. Deliberate, multifaceted distortion of the Asiatic Turanian Migrations
- F. Minimization of the cataclysmic presence and prevalence of the Turanian nations throughout Eastern Europe
- G. European academia-backed biases: malignant disregard of the spiritual value of Kievan Rus, and absurd focus on ethnic, racial and linguistic considerations
- H. Erroneous focus on Kievan Rus and disastrous neglect for Volga Bulgaria
- I. Concealment of the historical reality of the Turanian ('Tatar-Mongol') period

Содержание

I. Западный антироссийский уклон

II. Умелая западноевропейская фальсификация российской истории

- A. Ошибочная контекстуализация археологии Северной Азии
- B. Умышленное использование совпадающих терминов: Северная Азия, Сибирь и Скифия.
- C. Предыстория и древняя история Северной Азии подчинены современным границам и бессмысленным попыткам «национальной археологии»
- D. Неспособность различить Северную Азию во всей ее полноте и истинных размерах
- E. Умышленное, многогранное искажение азиатско-туранских миграций.
- F. Минимизация катастрофического присутствия и распространенности туранских народов по всей Восточной Европе.
- G. Предубеждения, поддерживаемые европейскими академическими кругами: пагубное пренебрежение духовной ценностью Киевской Руси и абсурдная сосредоточенность на этнических, расовых и языковых соображениях.
- H. Ошибочное внимание к Киевской Руси и пагубное пренебрежение к Волжской Булгарии
- I. Сокрытие исторической реальности туранского («татаро-монгольского») периода

I am not racist either in my conviction or in my expression; Russians are not a Slavic-speaking, Christian nation of Eastern Europe, which was incepted before 450 years. This biased and utterly false definition belongs to the Anglo-French rascals and their inhuman wickedness. Russians are the multiethnic, multilingual, multi-religious, and multicultural nation that lives within and outside the borders of Russian Federation.

I. The Western Anti-Russian Bias

The English, the French and the Dutch are ethnically and culturally unrelated to Ancient Romans; but they intentionally usurped the Roman Heritage while also distorting it.

Even more preposterously, the English, the French and the Dutch inhabit only a small part of the lands ruled by the Ancient Romans; but they deliberately attempted to take control of the lands of the Roman Empire (and of many other territories), and they did so by means of colonies, proxies, regime change, and history falsification. This was instrumental for their need to lay a claim to the heritage of the Roman Imperial.

Contrarily to them, today's Russians are ethnically and culturally related to the Turanian (Turkic-Mongolian) nations, the Chinese, the Iranians, the Caucasians, and the Northern Indians, i.e. all the major Asiatic nations with which they have interacted for millennia.

Even more importantly, today's Russians inhabit the lands from where all the ancestors of the aforementioned nations emigrated to conquer the lands in which they dwell nowadays. Viewed diachronically, Russians (in both usages: Россияне and Русские) did not truly invade the lands south and east of Moscow ('Muscovy') and later in Sibir (Сибирь/Siberia), North-Northeast Asia, and Central Asia, neither did they assume colonial control over those territories. This is a fallacious reading of History; this preposterous intellectual forgery was indeed conceived-elaborated in and propagated first from the heinous and criminal academic institutions of France, England, and Holland. Interesting reading:

https://www.gazeta.ru/science/2016/11/17_a_10341575.shtml?updated

https://dzen.ru/a/ZApJNqjBN01i_kOx

<https://juic.livejournal.com/166659.html>

<https://kulturologia.ru/blogs/091219/44873/>

In fact, what has long been described as 'Russian Conquest of Siberia, Caucasus and Central Asia' is an effective attempt to reunify and pacify all the various peoples that had been endlessly roaming in the northern part of the so-called Euro-Asiatic landmass.

In reality,
what Genghis Khan achieved in a most formidable and spectacular manner in the 1100s and the 1200s,

what Genghis Khan's descendants, and in particular Kublai Khan (志祖 / 元世祖: Shizu of Yuan), attempted to ensure by dividing their forefather's empire in the 1200s and the 1300s,

what Timur (Tamerlane) managed to accomplish by establishing an empire from Ganges River to Moscow and from Western Anatolia to the Altai Mountains in the 1300s and the 1400s,

... Ivan the Terrible, the Stroganovs (Строгановы), and the Romanov (Романовы) were successful to implement in the 1500s through the 1800s.

This consisted in an unprecedented achievement and a uniquely outstanding feat, if we take into consideration the countless invasions, the incessant incursions, the endless wars, and the detrimental destructions that used to happen for thousands of

years between the Kamchatka Peninsula in the East and Scandinavia and the Iberian Peninsula in the West.

However, this colossal achievement of pacification did not correspond to the evil targets of the inhuman maritime powers of France, England and Holland; quite contrarily, it damaged their interests irreparably. That is why these criminal states wanted to irrevocably negate the miraculous Russian triumph; and before they managed to demolish it in deeds, they have tried to destroy it in words. This is how and why the Western falsification of the Russian History started. Misperception and partial approach are at the origin of the racist portrayal of Russia by the Western academia.

Whereas the Western European sea powers usurped the Roman past, which does not belong to them, their political and academic elites do not want to accept that Czarist, Soviet and Republican Russia represents the summation of 5000 years of Asiatic civilization, the aggregation of a Turanian-Slavic confederacy, and the paramount example of peaceful multiculturalism.

Few people realize today that, even if the inhabitants of Ukraine did not speak a Russian dialect (which is what is now called Ukrainian 'language'), the colonial powers of the West would find other victims to hire due to their bribery, corruption, false promises, and other evil techniques.

It is not the 'Ukrainians' that the criminal Western powers want to defend; not at all! This is a nave approach. London, Paris, Rome and Washington D.C. fabricate, show, support and promote the 'Anti-Russians'. If they did not find elements of the local, Ukrainian, elite to first fool, second upend, and third utilize, they would pursue their tactics elsewhere.

It is not the 'Ukraine' that the criminal Western powers want to defend; not at all! This is a nave approach. London, Paris, Rome and Washington D.C. soil the Earth with the blood of innocent people caught between the two halves of a vice. The desecration of the land plays an extremely important role in the schemes of the sea powers. Any person, who fails to comprehend the evil spiritual agendas that secret organizations of the West (namely the Jesuits, the Freemasons, and the Zionists) want to implement, cannot have a clue of what this conflict and the forthcoming wars are all about.

(Note: If some readers find it odd that I use the definite article before the name of that land on the first line of the previous paragraph, they must come to terms with the fact that this was the original and correct usage in English in this regard. This in turn makes it automatically clear that Ukraine is not a nation, but a piece of land. These populations were actually never considered to be a nation before the 1990s. As a matter of fact, the definite article is prefixed to names of regions. About the topic: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Name_of_Ukraine#English_definite_article)

One should not confuse between the smokescreen (mainstream media and all the types of political discourse) used for the useful idiots (the atheists, the materialists, the evolutionists, the modernists, the consumerists, the rationalists, the fanatics, the agnostics, and the extremists) and the upper part of the ruling elites of the Western countries. They are aware -only too well- of their lawlessness, their iniquity, and

their forthcoming end, and they try to effectively dissipate their sea (i.e. diabolical) nature, nonsensically shifting the focus on factoids, fake dilemmas, nonexistent concepts, and an enormous array of misinformation paraphernalia, involving false maps, memes, figures of speech, and associated techniques.

II. Skillful Western European Falsification of Russian History

In the previous, first article of the series, I explained the reasons and the targets of the systematic academic effort of the Western European powers to portray Russia as a European country and nation, also stating where it all leads. The article is here:

Russia, Ukraine and the World-I: '*Moscou, les Plaines d'Ukraine, et les Champs-Élysées*'
Russian Special Operation in Ukraine: One Year after – 24 February 2023

<https://megalommatismcomments.wordpress.com/2023/03/14/russia-ukraine-and-the-world-i-moscou-les-plaines-dukraïne-et-les-champs-elysees/>

In the present article, I will enumerate the critical points of Western European falsification of Russian History on which the Western Anti-Russian bias is founded.

A. Erroneous contextualization of Archaeology of Northern Asia

By limiting the study of prehistoric and early historical periods within modern borders and by classifying the material record as per modern, otherwise nonexistent terms, Western archaeologists prevent the adequate exploration of vast spaces within which human activities, cultures and civilizations were boundless because simply the modern (Western European) concepts of 'state' and 'borders' are worthless filth. In the links below, you get a brief impression of the intentional confusion created around the topic:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/North_Asia#History

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prehistoric_Mongolia

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_China#Prehistory

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_Central_Asia#Prehistory

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_Russia#Prehistory

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_Tibet#Prehistory

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eurasian_nomads

Deliberate confusion does not prevail only in Archaeology; it is also omnipresent, when it comes to Linguistics:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Altaic_languages

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ural-Altaic_languages

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paleosiberian_languages

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Proto-Uralic_language

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Turkic_languages

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mongolic_languages

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Para-Mongolic_languages

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tungusic_languages

Irrelevant, racist literature:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ancient_North_Eurasian

B. Deliberate use of overlapping terms: Northern Asia, Siberia, and Scythia

By using terms that are misplaced in time and by recurring to names attested in later historical sources, Western historians prevent the accurate understanding and the comprehensive representation of numerous human societies, cultures, movements,

and migrations; the ensuing conclusions are therefore fragmentary and vague. The name of the Scythians is first attested in Assyrian-Babylonian and Achaemenid Iranian cuneiform texts in the 7th–6th c. BCE, but the lack of detailed topographical information makes the use of the term uncertain.

Similarly, the name of Siberia (Sibir), which was a Turanian Muslim khanate formed after the dissolution of the enormous empire of Genghis Khan, cannot be used in replacement of the correct term 'Northern Asia', because Siberia represents only a small part of the Eurasiatic landmass' northern circumference. The end result entails the abysmally wrong theory of Pan-Indo-Europeanism and the assumption that the Aryans (or Indo-Iranians, Indo-Europeans) are distinct from the Turkic or Turanian nations. Then, the preconceived, mistaken and absurd linguistic models are projected onto the diverse archaeological findings only to lead historians and explorers to the bogus-historical narrative, as per which no Turanians reached, lived in, and civilized Europe. The links below reveal only a small part of the erroneous schemes of the Western European academia:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_Siberia#Prehistory_and_antiquity

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prehistory_of_Siberia

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Siberia#Etymology>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Andronovo_culture

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Indo-Iranians>

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aryan>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Indo-European_languages

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scythia>

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/I%C5%A1kuza>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scythian_campaign_of_Darius_I

C. Prehistory and Ancient History of Northern Asia are subject to modern borders and to the meaningless attempts for 'national archaeology'

By persistently implementing a fragmentary approach to archaeological-historical research, by disaggregating European Bronze Age cultures from the wider Asiatic landmass (of which Europe is merely a marginal peninsula), and by aptly utilizing the so-called Kurgan hypothesis to 'demonstrate' that the Indo-European fallacy exists, the colonialist and racist academia of Western Europe set the foundations of the falsehood that makes of Russia an 'Eastern European modern nation'. The dire results involve the division lines between the Russians and the Turanian nations, the dissociation of Russians from the great Asiatic civilizations (Mesopotamia - Anatolia, Iran, Indus River Valley, and China), and the removal of Central Asia from its rightful position as the very epicenter of World History. About;

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_Europe#Prehistory_of_Europe

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prehistoric_Europe#Chalcolithic_\(Copper_Age\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prehistoric_Europe#Chalcolithic_(Copper_Age))

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurgan_hypothesis#Kurgan_culture

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurgan_hypothesis#Stages_of_culture_and_expansion

D. Failure to discern Northern Asia in its entirety and true dimensions

Northern Asia has always been the pathway crossed by countless nomads, tribes, clans, families and armies, which sought to either find refuge in the West, i.e. the marginal confines of the Earth, or chase renegades and lawless fugitives there. This is how diverse Asiatics ended up in the faraway periphery that is now called 'Europe'.

This fact and the innumerable details of the process are at the origin of what is called Christian-Muslim, Turco-Mongol tradition. However, the racist Western academia, by failing to see Northern Asia in its correct dimensions, generated fake divisions between 'Slavs' and 'Turadians', deceitfully and criminally identifying the former with Christianity and the latter with Islam. That's nonsense!

Nestorian Christianity was a major religion in Central Asia, China, India and Northern Asia. More particularly, there were many Turanian and Mongol adepts of Nestorianism, notably Dokuz Khatun, the wife of the Great Emperor Hulagu. This means that the so-called 'Turco-Mongol tradition' existed in reality as early as the 2nd millennium BCE, in spite of the deceitful scheme of the Western academia to describe it merely as a later phenomenon dating back to the 12th and the 13th centuries CE! About:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Turco-Mongol_tradition

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dokuz_Khatun

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church_of_the_East

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eurasian_Steppe

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_the_eastern_steppe

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_the_central_steppe

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_the_western_steppe

E. Deliberate, multifaceted distortion of the Asiatic Turanian Migrations

The term 'Barbarian Invasions' consists in heinous vocabulary and compact historical falsehood; it does not only reveal the incorrigibly racist mindset and mentality of the Western European academics and intellectuals, but it also involves a very systematic concealment of the true dimensions and the correct duration of the World History's most seminal phenomenon. The fallacious representation of this millennia-long event by Western colonial historians is fragmentary and occasional. Whereas this truly momentous historical event generated numerous states, produced diverse cultures, terminated several empires, and facilitated the diffusion of diverse religions, the rancorous, biased Western scholars persistently avoid offering compulsory courses about it. Instead, they intermittently discuss it as an annex of Iranian, Roman or Chinese History. This is an outrage.

At the end, people get the extremely wrong impression that the Asiatic Turanian Migrations occurred in irregular intervals, whereas they constituted an endless development until the formation of modern states. In fact, there is no such period as 'Migration Period'; the History of Mankind is a permanent migration. Furthermore, many important nations whose migration was recorded in different historical sources almost never appear in the title of a university seminar in spite of the existence of vast documentation.

In addition, an enormous amount of false maps offer a transvestite version of the historical reality. Few examples of distortion can be found here:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_human_migration

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Migration_Period

Presenting World History as the history of settled populations is the quintessence of racism.

F. Minimization of the cataclysmic presence and prevalence of the Turanian nations throughout Eastern Europe

In fact, from the time of the Turanian Pazyryk culture (Пазырыкская культура / 600-300 BCE; in the Ukok plateau of the Altai Mountains), which was erroneously defined as 'Scythian' by the racist Western European academics, an endless process of migrations created, strengthened, weakened and demolished kingdoms and other types of state in Central and Western Asia and as far as Central Europe.

Actually, the plains of Eastern Europe were already inhabited by Turanian nomads as early as the first years of the Roman Empire; later, the rise of the Turanian Rouran Khaganate (330-555 CE) in Central and Eastern Asia triggered numerous successive migrations because fleeing nomads forced semi-nomads and other migrants to move further to the West. The trajectory followed by the Turanian Tiele (Dili) tribes must have been extremely embarrassing for the disreputable liars of filthy institutions like the universities of England and France; in and by itself, it reveals much – and in full rejection of their interpretational schemes.

With the establishment of the Avar Khaganate (587-825), the rise of the First Turkic Khaganate (552-603), the formation of the Old Great Bulgaria (632-668) in the area of modern times' Novorossiia and in the territory of the Donetsk and Luhansk People's Republics, and with the settlement of the Cuman and Kipchak nomads throughout the plains of Ukraine and Russia, massive Turanian populations already inhabited a very large part of Europe. The process only intensified during the Western Turkic Khaganate (581-742), which functioned also as a secure passage from Central Asia to Eastern Europe for many nomadic populations.

Impartial and honest scholars do not need to wait until as late as the 12th and the 13th centuries in order to make state of the arrival of Turanian / Tatar-Mongol populations in Eastern Europe; however, the racist Western European academics do not cover this topic but rather avoid referring to the said period. Unfortunately, this period is rather presented in a summarizing form. The following topics should be taken into account, when one examines closely the History of Eastern Europe from the 1st to the 9th c. CE:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pazyryk_culture

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Yuezhi>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Origin_of_the_Huns

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Xiongnu>

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Huns>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bulgars#Etymology_and_origin

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rouran_Khaganate

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tiele_people

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/G%C3%B6kt%C3%BCrks>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Timeline_of_the_G%C3%B6kt%C3%BCrks

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/G%C3%B6kt%C3%BCrk_civil_war

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hephthalites>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Old_Great_Bulgaria

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/First_Turkic_Khaganate

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Second_Turkic_Khaganate

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Western_Turkic_Khaganate

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tokhara_Yabghus

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eastern_Turkic_Khaganate
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tocharians>
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cumans>
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kipchaks>
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pechenegs>
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cumania>
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kimek% E2% 80% 93Kipchak_confederation](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kimek%E2%80%93Kipchak_confederation)
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Second_Turkic_Khaganate

Also:

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Novorossiya>
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Novorossiya_\(confederation\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Novorossiya_(confederation))

G. European academia-backed biases: malignant disregard of the spiritual value of Kievan Rus, and absurd focus on ethnic, racial and linguistic considerations

Today for Russians, it is not Kievan Rus that matters most; it's Volga Bulgaria. All Russians know very well that, when Ivan the Terrible attempted a series of conquests and carried out a unification effort, he basically wanted to bring peace to a vast territory which had already been united under Genghis Khan only to be divided again among his children and grandchildren. In a way, the closest possible parallel to Ivan IV's effort was Timur's triumph. None of these two conquerors undertook a religious war. The famous Oprichnina operation fully demonstrates that Ivan IV killed more Christians than Muslims. About:

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oprichnina>

It was only later that the historical link to the Kievan Rus became preponderant among the elites of the multiethnic, multilingual and multicultural kingdom of Russia. One has also to admit that this Russian link -for many long centuries- was never challenged by any ethnic group, social stratum, or religious/royal authority throughout the territory of Ukraine. The link to Kievan Rus, although historically valid and linguistically arguable, did indeed represent only one of the numerous imperial aspirations that the rising power of Moscow claimed to possess, namely the eschatological.

In other words, it was not an ethnic or national declaration but a spiritual-imperial-ecumenical assertion. And it was quite solemn. Muscovy, as a continuation of the multiethnic state of Kievan Rus, was not the reconstitution of the same state, but a continuity from the spirituality of Vladimir the Great (Владимир Святославич /958-1015; ruled after 980), who was married with (the daughter of the Eastern Roman Emperor Romanos II and the sister of the Eastern Roman Emperor Basil II) Anna Porphyrogenita (Анна Византийская - Άννα Πορφυρογεννητή /963-1011; princess consort after 989), introduced Eastern Roman Orthodox Christianity in his state, and also adopted Eastern Roman Law (Codex Justinianus/Code of Justinian, formally Corpus Juris Civilis: "Body of Civil Law"). About:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kievan_Rus%27
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Christianization_of_Kievan_Rus%CA%B9
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vladimir_the_Great
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anna_Porphirogenita

Kievan Rus was a multiethnic principality without ecumenical ambitions; but Christian Orthodox Muscovy postured as Third Rome, after the Fall of the Eastern Roman Empire (1453), therefore in absolute opposition to, and detrimental rejection of, the schismatic church of Rome whose pope had been excommunicated (1054) by the Patriarch of Constantinople Michael I Cerularius.

The aforementioned facts are enough for any honest explorer to understand that the true importance of Kievan Rus lies in spirituality, and not in the ethnic continuity. Any state that lays claim to Kievan Rus must therefore reject the schismatic Catholic Church in order to be possibly taken seriously. Today's Russians and Ukrainians are racially / ethnically unrelated to the ethnically diverse populations of Kievan Rus. Russians are the offspring of the numerous ethnicities that were amalgamated over the centuries throughout the space between the river Dniester and the Kamchatka Peninsula, whereas Ukrainians are Russians with linguistic particularities due to the fact that the westernmost parts of the Russian imperial territory had been partly occupied by Poland-Lithuania (formally the Kingdom of Poland and the Grand Duchy of Lithuania; 1569-1795) and Austria-Hungary.

However, any association with the heretic orders that rule Vatican and the Catholic Church, any relationship with the modern, anti-Christian states of England, France, Holland, and the US, and any tolerance towards (let alone adaptation to) the lawless laws, the blatant immorality, the sexual debauchery and the inhuman anomaly that prevail in the Western world make any claim to Kievan Rus absurd, invalid and ludicrous. In fact, the present pseudo-state of Ukraine is at the very antipodes of the Kievan Rus in every sense.

H. Erroneous focus on Kievan Rus and disastrous neglect for Volga Bulgaria

As I already said, when it comes to the History of Russia, Volga Bulgaria is definitely more crucial than Kievan Rus for the Russians today. This is so because it highlights the Turanian nature of the Slavs; it underscores Islam's anteriority over Orthodox Christianity in Eastern Europe, and it also emphasizes the historical reality of the extensive ethnic amalgamation that took place in Eastern Europe for over more than two millennia. These conclusions are not new, and I am not the first to explicitly state these truths, but it will be essential for the Russian establishment to make of them the standard-bearer of the country's sovereignty and the pinnacle of the national positioning worldwide.

Volga Bulgaria was apparently inhabited by Turanian populations and its capital was located at Bolghar (Болгар), 180 km north of Kazan and more than 900 km east of Moscow. Yet, one of the foremost Muslim geographers, scholars, and leading magistrates of the Abbasid Caliphate, Ibn Khordadbeh (ابن خردادبه; 820–913) wrote in his grand opus 'Book of Roads and Kingdoms' (كِتَابُ الْمَسَالِكِ وَالْمَمَالِكِ / Kitāb al Masalik wa 'l Mamalik) that the ruling title of the khan of Volga Bulgaria was "King of Saqaliba", i.e. 'king of (all) Slavs'.

The Iranian Muslim potentate was writing in Baghdad, but exactly the same details can also be found in the famous report ('Risala'; الرسالة / كتاب إلى ملك الصقالية) that was composed by Ahmad ibn Fadlan, who was not just a mere traveler but the official delegate of the Abbasid Caliph to the court of Almiş, the Great Khan of the Bulgars.

Ibn Fadlan, who was invited by the Khan to preach Islam in his kingdom, and was the first to do so in Eastern Europe in 922, called the Great Khan the 'King of Slavs', clearly denoting this ruler's prominence among all the Slavs. This means that Islam was diffused in Eastern Europe no less than 67 years before the prince Vladimir of Kiev accepted Orthodox Christianity.

It is quite interesting that the manuscript with the report composed by Ibn Fadlan was found in Mashhad (NE Iran) by the great Bashkir Turanian scholar, intellectual, activist Ahmed Zeki Velidi Togan, one of the most prominent Jadid thinkers. About:

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/%C5%9Eilki>

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alm%C4%B1%C5%9F>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ahmad_ibn_Fadlan#The_embassy

https://ar.wikipedia.org/wiki/أحمد_بن_فضلان

https://fa.wikipedia.org/wiki/ابن_فضلان

https://tr.wikipedia.org/wiki/%C4%B0bn_Fadlan

<https://www.librarything.com/work/2153225>

https://www.academia.edu/37700548/The_Book_of_Ahmad_b_Fadlan

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/كتاب_أحمد_بن_فضلان_Книга_Ахмада_ибн_Фадлана_2016_Russian_translation

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Volga_Bulgaria

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ibn_Khordadbeh

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Roads_and_Kingdoms_\(Ibn_Khordadbeh\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Roads_and_Kingdoms_(Ibn_Khordadbeh))

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bolghar>

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bil%C3%A4r>

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Saqaliba>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Batu_Khan

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mongol_invasion_of_Volga_Bulgaria

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mongol_invasion_of_Kievan_Rus%27

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Siege_of_Kiev_\(1240\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Siege_of_Kiev_(1240))

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_battles_of_the_Mongol_invasion_of_Kievan_Rus%27

<https://thestrip.ru/en/materials/etot-narod-otnosit-sya-k-tyurkskoi-yazykovoi-gruppe-tyurskii-mir-kak/>

<https://www.elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=42602705>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lev_Gumilev#Ideas

<https://imrussia.org/en/nation/613-split-science>

It is however preposterous to see Western scholars like H el ene Carr ere d'Encausse, illustrious Sovietologist and permanent secretary of the Acad emie Fran aise, speak about Batu Khan, Genghis Khan's grandson, and state that he pillaged Kiev(1240), while shamelessly hiding the fact that the great Turanian conqueror also invaded and demolished the Muslim kingdom of Volga Bulgaria; in fact, Batu destroyed Bolghar in 1236 before proceeding to the West and unifying those divided territories under his pacifying rule.

Even more outrageous is the attempt of the French academician to intentionally misinform her readers and audience by saying that only after the Turanian (the term Tatar-Mongol being inaccurate) conquest of Eastern Europe, Islam was diffused in that vast region for the first time ("La population installe l'islam"; 07:43/ «Les voyageurs au fil des si cles. D couverte de l'espace russe» by H el ene Carr ere d'Encausse: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Kodw9azYVUI>). The truth is that the outright majority of the Eastern European populations were already Muslims for

more than 300 years before the thunderous and superb conquests of the illustrious offspring of Genghis Khan.

I. Concealment of the historical reality of the Turanian ('Tatar-Mongol') period

The fallacy of the so-called 'Tatar-Mongol Occupation of Russia' and its 'annex', namely the pretended 'Russian Liberation from the Tatars', complete the wicked assemblage of distorted facts, misinterpreted events, delusional factoids and gibberish discourse that the racist Western European academics teach as "Russian History" in their vicious universities. In fact, there is no 'Mongol' but Turanian presence throughout Eastern Europe; but this started more than seven (7) centuries before the destruction of Kievan Rus by Batu Khan. The so-called Mongol invasions were simply an internal Turanian affair. There were no anti-Christian feelings during the conquest of Kievan Rus, pretty much like there was no anti-Muslim sentiment during the invasion of Volga Bulgaria.

'Tatar-Mongol' is a charlatanesque term deliberately used in order to diffuse wrong impressions and erroneous conceptualization of the historical events; the invading armies were Turanian. The local populations in Volga Bulgaria, Cuman-Kipchak confederation, Kievan Rus, Christian Alania, Vladimir-Suzdal, and Khazaria were predominantly of Turanian origin too. How could one scholar possibly speak of a 'Turanian occupation of Turanians'? This would be an oxymoron.

For this reason, the Western European factories of falsehood, which pretend to be 'centers of learning', produce fake names in order to plunge the non-specialists and the credulous victims of their propaganda into endless confusion, thus positioning their deceitful narrative. One can describe the Turanian invasions of Genghis Khan and his descendants as a 'civil war' among Turanians or even as fratricidal clashes that spanned across Asia (with Europe being just an Asiatic peninsula). However, these events, in spite of the great number of casualties, were in reality merciless conflicts of tribal leaderships; the causes for them were issues of spiritual purity, moral integrity, Turanian identity, and imperial honesty.

The racist historians and the biased intellectuals of the Western European countries lack the academic ability, the free will, and the fair judgment to view things as they truly happened and in the way their champions felt them at the time. By continually projecting their immoral mindsets, worthless values, and conceited character, they get a shallow understanding of each historical development, and they always fail to fathom that it did not happen as they could expect or even imagine. Then, when the historical facts have to be distorted enough in order to be adjusted to the criminal agendas of the Western European elites and the colonial governments, their bigotry and partiality reach the level of madness.

The myth of the 'Tatar-Mongol Occupation of Russia' is the key ruse point of the racist Western historiography about Russia. This is the result of the discriminatory theory of racial purity. This approach was subtly diffused among Russian academic, intellectual and political elites over centuries; it forces Russians to believe that they were initially a small country which later expanded. This is wrong. Nations are not races with racist elites, but cultural communities organized in culture-based states that are open to all the inhabitants, and secret organizations have no right to exist.

Russians must not feel closer to Kievan Rus than to Volga Bulgaria and the other Turanian states of Asia and Europe that stretched at the time over today's Russian territory; preponderantly, Russians are ethnically the offspring of all the Turanian khanates and nations, which were formed throughout the territory of Russia and several adjacent states. Slavicization (Russification) came later. Studying the topic of the Turanian invasions, Russians should not feel sentimentally linked to Kievan Rus. Their ancestors were truly on both sides of the war.

The 16th c. pledge made to Kievan Rus by the Muscovite authorities was entirely spiritual and eschatological, not ethnic – let alone political. This must become crystal clear, because it will play a decisive role in the much needed, forthcoming victory of Russia and annexation of Ukraine. Russians today must emancipate themselves from the czarist need for a European Russia; they have to envision their remote past as an all-encompassing heritage,

As intentionally racist fabrication of the Western academia, the so-called 'Tatar-Mongol Occupation of Russia' is fully refuted and utterly invalidated by historical facts and sources that the disreputable professors of English, French, Dutch, Belgian, American, Canadian, Australian and other universities do their ingenious best to hide. In fact, from the middle of the 13th to the middle of the 16th c., there were no religious fanaticism, ethnic enmity and social divisions throughout Eastern Europe.

On the contrary, after the incorporation of the Kievan Rus, there were frequent mixed marriages at all levels; as they were fluent in Turkic dialects, numerous Rus princes quite often got unreservedly married with Turanian princesses, thus getting the favor of their Emperor of the Golden Horde. There was no rancor and no hatred from the Christians against the Tengrists and the Muslims and vice versa, in striking contrast to what the villainous Anglo-French scholars ruthlessly attempt to represent, further drawing their lines of division. One can publish endless series of volumes, stating facts and referring to historical sources that totally discredit the criminal Western European academics, their vicious fallacies, and their murderous pseudo-historical divisive lines.

A typical example is the case of the prince Yuri Danilovich (Юрий Данилович; 1281-1340/prince of Moscow/Muscovy after 1303), who was vassal of the Sultan Giyas al-Din Mohammed Öz Beg (غياث الدين محمد / Султан Гийас ад-Дин Мухаммед; 1282-1341; reigned after 1313/also known as Özbek Khan / Узбек-хан / اوزبیک خان), the great emperor of the Golden Horde. Giyas al-Din Mohammed was born Tengrist, but accepted Islam before being coronated. Yuri Danilovich managed to get married with the emperor's sister Konchaka (Кончака; died 1318), who was authorized to become Christian Orthodox; Yuri Danilovich's loyalty to the Golden Horde -at the very moment the vast empire adopted Islam as official religion- fully shows that at the time everything was very different from the vicious, divisive narrative that Western academics have ceaselessly and shamelessly have propagated. About:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Özbek_Khan

https://fa.wikipedia.org/wiki/اوزبیک_خان

<https://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/Узбек-хан>

<https://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/Кончака>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Yury_of_Moscow

https://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/Юрий_Данилович

Due to the fact that Rus/Slavic-Turanian Christianity was the Orthodox faith (and not the schismatic Catholic heresy), the two more systematized faiths were viewed as they truly are, i.e. quasi-identical, and this facilitated the conversions from either side. In this regard, a typical example is Tsarevich (Czarevitch) Peter Ordynsky (i.e. Peter of the Horde; Пётр Ордынский/died 1290), who was also known as Peter Rostovsky (Пётр Ростовский) or Dair Kaydagul Orda-Ichinov (Даир Кайдагул Орда-Ичинов); great-grandson of Genghis Khan, nephew of Batu Khan and Berke (or Birkai) Khan (Бэркэ хан; died 1266), the prince of the Golden Horde was the son of prince Orda-Ejen (or Orda Ichen) and grandson of Jochi. He accepted Christianity; he was baptized Peter and he saw formidable spiritual visions. Then, Bishop Ignatius of Rostov {Игнатий I (епископ Ростовский); died 1288} solemnly declared Peter Ordynsky and Boris Vasil'kovich brothers under the vaults of the church; the latter was the vassal -to the Golden Horde- prince of Rostov. Before dying, Peter Ordynsky became a monk and established a monastery; he was early canonized in 1547. About: <https://ok.ru/group2yamirova/topic/160311655925760>
https://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/Пётр_Ордынский
https://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/Борис_Василькович
https://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/Борис_Василькович#Князь_Борис_и_Петр_Ордынский
[https://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/Игнатий_I_\(епископ_Ростовский\)](https://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/Игнатий_I_(епископ_Ростовский))
<https://stjohndc.org/en/orthodoxy-foundation/saints/venerable-st-peter-prince-golden-horde>
<https://pravoslavie.ru/72184.html>
<https://travelerscoffee.ru/tr/fertilizer/tatary-na-sluzhbe-rossiiskoi-imperii-alina-kabaeva-i-drugie/>
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Berke>
<https://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/Орда-Эджен>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Orda_Khan
<https://thestrip.ru/en/glaza/chto-oznachaet-vyrazhenie-poskrebi-russkogo-naidesh/>
<https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/rostovskoe-duhovenstvo-i-mongolskie-vlasti-pri-episkope-ignatii>
[https://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/Игнатий_I_\(епископ_Ростовский\)](https://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/Игнатий_I_(епископ_Ростовский))
Deceitfully written text by a supposedly Slavophile pseudo-Orthodox liar of ... Anglican/Quaker background (!!):
<https://heavyangloorthodox.blogspot.com/2020/06/righteous-peter-jonon-of-golden-horde.html>

Other illustrious cases of noble intermarriages between Christian Rus princes and Turanian imperial families involve Gleb Vasil'kovich (Глеб Василькович; 1238-1278), who was the first prince of Beloozero (Белозерское княжество; 1238-1486), another small vassal state of the Golden Horde, and St. Fyodor the Black (also known as Theodore Rostislavich / Феодор Ростиславич Чёрный; ca. 1233-1299), another vassal of the Golden Horde and ruler of Smolensk and Yaroslavl, who was later (1463) canonized. The former got married with one of the daughters of Sartaq Khan (Сартак), who was the oldest son of the conqueror Batu Khan; and the latter wedded the daughter of Möngke Temür (or Munkh Tumor/ Мангугемир; died in 1280), who was the grandson of Batu Khan. About: https://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/Глеб_Василькович
<https://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/Сартак>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sartaq_Khan
https://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/Фёдор_Ростиславич_Чёрный
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Theodore_the_Black
<https://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/Менгу-Тимур>
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mengu-Timur>

I will continue in the next article of the series; but at this point, I have to conclude that for the Russians the only path to victory involves

- a) an accurate perception of their historical identity as a non-European, Asiatic Empire, and
- b) a resolute rejection of the misperceptions, the inaccuracies, the distortions and the divisive sentimentalism that Western colonial historians and academics projected onto them in order to confuse them and make them unable to exploit in the best possible manner the chances that History offered to Northern Asia.

Napoleon I Bonaparte, who failed to win over the Russians, knew it, understood it and said it; but today's criminal Western regimes do their best to hide the statement.

"Dig up a Russian and you will find a Tatar!"

Today's Russian leadership must make the big leap; in it, they will have to combine

- Genghis Khan's military acumen,
- Tamerlane's martial ingenuity,
- Ivan IV's imperial tenacity, and
- Nikolai II's pledge to Kievan Rus-Third Rome.

But all these mental faculties, personal advantages, and moral challenges will need to be backed by the adamant spirituality and the concealed forcefulness that typified Stalin only 70 years ago.

Yet, it will be up to the forthcoming Last Czar to manifest the vitality that will irrevocably remove the Anglo-French perfidy and the Roman outrage from the surface of the Earth.